

Large sum-free sets in abelian groups

R.Balasubramanian,
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
CIT Campus, Taramani
Chennai-600113, India.
E-mail: balu@imsc.res.in

Gyan Prakash
Harish Chandra Research Institute
Chatnag Road, Jhusi,
Allahabad - 211 019, India
E mail: gyan@mri.ernet.in

Abstract

Let A be a subset of a finite abelian group G . We say that A is sum-free if the equation $x + y = z$, has no solution (x, y, z) with x, y, z belonging to the set A . In this paper we shall characterise the largest possible sum-free subsets of G in case the order of G is only divisible by primes which are congruent to 1 modulo 3.

1 Introduction and statements of result

Throughout this paper G will denote a finite abelian group of order n . If A is a subset of G then we say that A is sum-free if the equation $x + y = z$ has no solution with $(x, y, z) \in A \times A \times A$. We say that A is maximal sum-free if it is not a proper subset of any sum-free set. The present article is motivated by the following question:

Question 1.1. Find a “*structure*” of all “*large*” maximal sum-free subsets of G .

In this regard we prove Theorem 1.10, Theorem 1.12 and Theorem 1.13. The results are based on Theorem 1.14 which is a recent result of Ben Green and Imre Ruzsa [GR05]. Our results give complete characterisation of largest sum-free subsets of G in case all the divisors of n are congruent to 1 modulo 3. For all other groups a structure of all largest sum-free subsets was known before.

Before stating our results and previously known results related to the above question we shall explain what do we mean by “*large*” and what sort of “*structure*” does one may expect?

To understand the meaning of *large* in the above question, we need to understand the following question.

Question 1.2. How big is the largest sum-free subset of G ?

Definition 1.3. (i) Given any finite abelian group K and a set $A \subset K$, we define the density of the set A to be $\frac{|A|}{|K|}$ and denote it by $\alpha(A)$.

(ii) We use $\mu(G)$ to denote the density of a largest sum-free subset of G .

We say a sum-free set $A \subset G$ is large if the density of the set A is close to $\mu(G)$; that is $\mu(G) - \alpha(A)$ is “small”.

The value of $\mu(G)$ is now known for any finite abelian group G [GR05].

Theorem 1.4. ([GR05], Theorem 2) *Let G be a finite abelian group and m be its exponent. Then the value of $\mu(G)$ is given by the following formula.*

$$\mu(G) = \max_{d|m} \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{d-2}{3} \right\rfloor + 1}{d}$$

The following facts are straightforward to check.

(i) For any positive integer d , we have the natural projection $p_d : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Let the set $B_d \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ be the image of integers in the interval $(\frac{d}{3}, \frac{2d}{3}]$ under the map p_d . Then it is straightforward to check that B_d is sum-free and density of the set B_d is given by

$$\alpha(B_d) = \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{d-2}{3} \right\rfloor + 1}{d}.$$

(ii) For any positive integer d , there is a surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if d divides the exponent of the group G .

(iii) For any positive integer d and a surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, the set $A = f^{-1}(B_d)$ is a sum-free subset of G and $\alpha(A) = \alpha(B_d)$.

Therefore the following result follows.

Theorem 1.5. ([GR05]) *Given any finite abelian group G , there exists a sum-free set $A \subset G$, a surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ (where d is a positive integer), a sum-free set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$; such that the following hold.*

(i) $A = f^{-1}(B)$.

(ii) The density of A is $\mu(G)$.

Now regarding the structure of large sum-free subsets of G we may ask the following question.

Question 1.6. Let A be a “large” sum-free subset of G . Then given any such set A , does there always exist a surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ (where d is some positive integer) and a sum-free set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, such that the set A is a subset of the set $f^{-1}(B)$?

Before discussing this question we describe the value of $\mu(G)$ more explicitly by dividing the finite abelian groups in the following three classes.

Definition 1.7. Suppose that G is a finite abelian group of order n .

(i) If n is divisible by any prime $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then we say that G is type I . We say that G is type $I(p)$ if it is type I and if p is the least prime factor of n of the form $3l + 2$. In this case the value of $\mu(G)$ is equal to $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3p}$.

- (ii) If n is not divisible by any prime $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, but $3|n$, then we say that G is type *II*. In this case the value of $\mu(G)$ is equal to $\frac{1}{3}$.
- (iii) The group G is said to be of type *III* if all the divisor of n (order of G) are congruent to 1 modulo 3. Let m be the exponent of G . In this case the value of $\mu(G)$ is equal to $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3m}$. We also note the fact that if G is a group of type *III* then any subgroup as well as quotient of G is also a type *III* group.

Remark 1.8. We note the fact that if G is a type *III* group and d is any divisor of m , then d is odd and congruent to 1 modulo 3. Therefore d is congruent to 1 modulo 6 and $\frac{d-1}{6}$ is a non negative integer.

Theorem 1.4 was proven for type *I* and type *II* groups by Diananda and Yap [DY69]. For some special cases of type *III* groups it was proven by various authors (see [Yap72, Yap75, RS74]). For an arbitrary abelian groups of type *III* the proof of Theorem 1.4 is due to Ben Green and Ruzsa [GR05].

Hamidoune and Plagne [oHP04] answered the question 3 affirmatively when $|A| \geq \frac{|G|}{3}$, in the case $|G|$ is odd. In case $|G|$ is even they answered the question 3 affirmatively if $|A| \geq \frac{|G|+1}{3}$. In case $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$ with $r \geq 4$ and $|A| \geq 5 \cdot 2^{r-4}$ then Davydov and Tombak [DT89] showed that answer of this question is affirmative. Recently Lev [Lev05] answered this question affirmatively in the case when $G = (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^r$ (with an integer $r \geq 3$) and $|A| > \frac{5}{27}3^r$. Lev [Lev] has also characterised the sum-free subsets A of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ when p is prime and $|A| > 0.33p$.

Notice that none of the above mentioned results tells us anything related to the question 3, in case G is a finite abelian group of type *III* and G is not cyclic. In case G is cyclic the answer of question 3 is obviously affirmative. In case G is not cyclic and of type *III* Theorem 1.10 shows that the answer of question 3 is negative.

For the rest of this paper unless specified differently, G shall denote a finite abelian group of type *III* and of order n . The symbol m shall denote the exponent of G and $k = \frac{m-1}{6}$.

Remark 1.9. If G is an abelian group of type *III* and m is exponent of G , there exist $S \subset G$ and $C \subset G$ such that S and C are subgroups of G , C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $G = S \oplus C$. In case G is not cyclic, S will be a nontrivial subgroup of G .

Let $p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be the natural projection from the group of integers to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a finite abelian group of type *III*. Let m denote the exponent of G and $k = \frac{m-1}{6}$. Suppose G is not a cyclic group and S, C are non trivial subgroups of G such that $G = S \oplus C$, and C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Let $g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be an isomorphism. Let J be any proper subgroup of S and b is any element belonging to S . Then consider the following two examples:*

- (i) *The set $A = ((J+b) \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k\})) \cup (S \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k+j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k-1\})) \cup ((J+2b)^c \oplus g^{-1}p(\{4k\}))$. (Here for any set $D \subset S$ the symbol D^c denotes the set $S \setminus D$.)*
- (ii) *The set $A = ((J+b) \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k\})) \cup ((J-2b)^c \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k+1\})) \cup (S \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k+j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k-1\})) \cup ((J+2b)^c \oplus g^{-1}p(\{4k\})) \cup ((J-b) \oplus g^{-1}p(\{4k+1\}))$.*

Let A be any of the set as above. Then the following holds.

- (i) The set A is a sum-free subset of G and $\alpha(A) = \mu(G)$.
- (ii) For any positive integer d , there does not exist any surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, a sum-free set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, such that the set A is a subset of the set $f^{-1}(B)$.

We got to know the above examples from certain remarks made in [GR05] about the group $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^r$.

We prove that if G is a type III group and A is a sum-free subset G of largest possible cardinality then either A is an inverse image of a sum-free subset of a cyclic quotient of G or A is one of the set as given in Theorem 1.10.

Definition 1.11. Given a sum-free set $A \subset G$, a surjective homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ the following definition and notations are useful.

- (i) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ the symbol $A(h, i)$ denote the set $A \cap h^{-1}\{i\}$.
- (ii) For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ we define $\alpha(h, i) = \frac{d}{n}|A(h, i)|$.
- (iii) Let $l = \frac{d-1}{6}$ and $p_d : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ be the natural projection. The sets $H_d, T_d, M_d, I_d \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ denote the following sets.

$$\begin{aligned} H_d &= p_d\{l+1, l+2, \dots, 2l-1, 2l\} \\ M_d &= p_d\{2l+1, 2l+2, \dots, 4l-1, 4l\} \\ T_d &= p_d\{4l+1, 4l+2, \dots, 5l-1, 5l\} \\ I_d &= p_d\{l+1, l+2, \dots, 5l-1, 5l\} \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) The symbol H, T, M, I denote the sets H_m, T_m, M_m, I_m respectively. The symbol p denotes the map p_m .

Theorem 1.12. Let G be a finite abelian group of type III. Let A be a sum-free subset of an abelian group G . Let n denotes the order of G and m denotes the exponent of G . Let $k = \frac{m-1}{6}$ and $\eta = 2^{-23}$. Suppose that $\alpha(A) > \mu(G) - \min(\eta, \frac{5}{42m})$. Then there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

such that the following holds.

$$A \subset f^{-1}p(\{2k+j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k+1\})$$

Further the following also holds.

- (i) For all $i \in p(\{2k+j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k-1\})$ the inequality $\alpha(f, i) \geq 1 - m(\mu(G) - \alpha(A))$ holds.
- (ii) $\alpha(f, 2k) + \alpha(f, 4k) \geq 1 - m(\mu(G) - \alpha(A))$.
- (iii) $\alpha(f, 4k+1) + \alpha(f, 2k+1) \geq 1 - m(\mu(G) - \alpha(A))$
- (iv) If A is maximal then

$$f^{-1}p(\{2k+j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k-1\}) \subset A$$

Using Theorem 1.12 the following theorem follows easily.

Theorem 1.13. *Let A be a sum-free subset of an abelian group G of type III. Let the symbol m denote the exponent of G and $k = \frac{m-1}{6}$. Let the density of the set A is equal to $\mu(G)$ and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be a surjective homomorphism as given by Theorem 1.12. Let the set S denotes the kernel of f and C is a subgroup of G such that $G = S \oplus C$. Let $g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be an isomorphism obtained by restricting f to the subgroup C . Then there exist J a subgroup of S and $b \in S$ such that one of the following holds:*

(i) *The set $A = f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k\})$.*

(ii) *One of the set A or $-A$ is equal to the following set*

$$((J + b) \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k\})) \cup (f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\})) \cup ((J + 2b)^c \oplus g^{-1}\{4k\}).$$

(Here and in the following for any set $D \subset S$ the symbol D^c denotes the set $S \setminus D$.)

(iii) *The set A is union of the sets $f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\})$, $((J + b) \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k\}))$, $((J + 2b)^c \oplus g^{-1}p(\{4k\}))$, $((J - b) \oplus g^{-1}p(\{4k + 1\}))$ and $((J - 2b)^c \oplus g^{-1}p(\{2k + 1\}))$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.12 is based on the following result of Ben Green and Ruzsa [GR05].

Theorem 1.14. *([GR05], Proposition 7.2.) Let A be a sum-free subset of an abelian group G of type III. Let $\eta = 2^{-23}$. Suppose that $\alpha(A) \geq \mu(G) - \eta$, then there exists a surjective homomorphism $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 1$ such that the following holds.*

$$A \subset \gamma^{-1}I_q.$$

We require the following definitions and notations.

Definition 1.15. Let A be a sum-free subset of G and $\alpha(A) \geq \mu(G) - \eta$, then we choose a γ a surjective homomorphism from Z to $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 1$ and $A \subset \gamma^{-1}I_q$. Following the terminology of [GR05] we call γ the special direction of the set A and q the order of special direction. We use the symbols α_i and A_i to denote the number $\alpha(\gamma, i)$ and the set $A(\gamma, i)$ respectively. We use symbol S to denote the set $\ker(\gamma)$ and S_i to denote $\gamma^{-1}\{i\}$.

Remark 1.16. Notice that in case $G = (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^r$, the Theorem 1.14 is equivalent to Theorem 1.12, as in this special case $l + 1 = 2l$.

2 An outline of the paper

Let $H(A)$ is the largest subset of G such that $H(A) + A = A$. The set $H(A)$ as defined is called period or stabiliser of the set A . For any set A as as in Theorem 1.10 we prove that $H(A) = J$ where J is as in Theorem 1.10. Using this Theorem 1.10 is easy to prove.

Let A be as in Theorem 1.12 and γ be the special direction of A . The proof of Theorem 1.12 is divided into following three parts.

(i) The order of the special direction of A is m .

(ii) We define the set $L = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} : \alpha_i > \frac{1}{2}\}$. We show that for any $i, j \in L$, $\alpha_{i+j}=0$. In particular the set L is a sum-free subset of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover the cardinality of L is $2k = 2\frac{m-1}{6}$; that is L is a largest sum-free subset of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

- (iii) We describe all the largest sum-free subset of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ which are subsets of I . Using Theorem 1.14 this characterises all the largest sum-free subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

There are two facts which we use repeatedly. One is that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ the sets $A(\gamma, i) + A(\gamma, j)$ and $A(\gamma, i + j)$ are disjoint. Another is that for any divisor d of m , the set I_d is divided into $2\frac{d-1}{6}$ disjoint pairs of the form $(i, 2i)$ with i belonging to the set $H_d \cup M_d$.

3 Stabiliser of largest sum-free subset

In this section we shall give the proof of Theorem 1.10. Any abelian group acts on itself by translation. Given any set $B \subset G$ we define the set $H(B)$ to be those elements of the group G such that the set B is stable under the translation by the elements of the set $H(B)$. In other words the set $H(B) = \{g \in G : g + B = B\}$. For any set B the set $H(B)$ is a subgroup.

Let G be an abelian group of type *III* and let $A \subset G$ be as in Theorem 1.10. To prove Theorem 1.10 we shall prove the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let S and C be as in Theorem 1.10 and $\pi_C : G \rightarrow G/S = C$ be the natural projection. Then the set $\pi_C(H(A)) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Since π_C is a homomorphism and $H(A)$ is a subgroup of G , the set $\pi_C(H(A))$ is a subgroup of M . Since $H(A) + A = A$ by the definition of $H(A)$, it follows that $\pi_C(H(A)) + \pi_C(A) = \pi_C(A)$. Therefore the set $\pi_C(A)$ is a union of cosets of $\pi_C(H(A))$. Therefore the cardinality of the subgroup $\pi_C(H(A))$ divides the cardinality of the set $\pi_C(A)$. Since the set $\pi_C(H(A))$ is a subgroup of C , it is also true that $\pi_C(H(A))$ divides m . This implies that $|\pi_C(H(A))|$ divides $\gcd(|\pi_C(A)|, m)$. Now if A is a set as in Theorem 1.10 (I) then the cardinality of the set $(\pi_C(A))$ is equal to $2k + 1$ and if A is a set as in Theorem 1.10 (II) then the cardinality of the set $(\pi_C(A))$ is equal to $2k + 2$. Since $m = 6k + 1$ it follows that in first case the number $\gcd(|\pi_C(A)|, m)$ divides 2 and in the second case it divides 5. But as G is type *III* group, any divisor of m which is not equal to 1 is greater than or equal to 7. Hence $\gcd(|\pi_C(A)|, m) = 1$ for any of the set A as in Theorem 1.10. This forces $|\pi_C(H(A))| = 1$ and hence the lemma follows. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be any of the set as in Theorem 1.10 and S be a subgroup of G , J be a proper subgroup of S as in Theorem 1.10. Then the stabiliser of the set A is equal to the set J .*

Proof. Using the previous lemma it follows that $H(A) + ((J + b) \oplus g^{-1}\{2k\}) = (J + b) \oplus g^{-1}\{2k\}$. This implies that $H(A) + J + b = J + b$. This implies that $H(A) \subset J$. But it is straightforward to check that $J + A = A$. Therefore it follows that $J = H(A)$, proving the claim. $\square$

Proof. of Theorem 1.10:

- (i) This is straightforward to check.
- (ii) Suppose A is one of a set as in Theorem 1.10. Suppose the claim is not true for this set. Then there exist a positive integer q , a surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$, a sum-free set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ such that the set A is a subset of $f^{-1}(B)$. Since from (I), the set A is a sum-free set of largest possible cardinality, it follows

that the set $A = f^{-1}(B)$. Therefore the kernel of f is contained in the set $H(A)$. Therefore we have the following inequality

$$|H(A)| \geq \frac{n}{q}. \quad (1)$$

But from Proposition 3.2 the stabiliser of the set A is J which is a proper subgroup of S . Since m is the exponent of G it follows that q is less than or equal to m . Therefore we have the following inequality

$$|H(A)| < |S| = \frac{n}{m} \leq \frac{n}{q} \quad (2)$$

This contradiction proves the claim. □

4 Order of the special direction

Let A be a sum-free subset of G and $\alpha(A) > \min(\eta, \frac{5}{42m})$. Let γ be the special direction of the set A as given by Theorem 1.14. In this section we shall show that the order of γ is equal to m . The proof of this result is inherent in [GR05]. We reproduce the proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 4.1. ([GR05], Lemma 7.3. (ii)) *Let A be a sum-free subset of the group G . Let g be any surjective homomorphism $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, where d is a positive integer. Then for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, the following inequality holds.*

$$\alpha(g, i) + \alpha(g, 2i) \leq 1 \quad (3)$$

Here $\alpha(g, i)$ is a number as defined in section 1.

Proof. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, let the set $A(g, i)$ be as defined in section 1. The fact that g is a homomorphism implies that the set $A(g, i) + A(g, i)$ is a subset of the set $g^{-1}\{2i\}$. The fact that the set A is sum-free implies that the set $A(g, i) + A(g, i)$ is disjoint from the set $A(g, 2i)$. Therefore we have the following inequality.

$$|A(g, i) + A(g, i)| + |A(g, 2i)| \leq |g^{-1}\{2i\}| \quad (4)$$

The claim follows by observing that the set $A(g, i) + A(g, i)$ has cardinality at least $|A(g, i)|$. □

The following lemma is straightforward to check, but is very useful.

Lemma 4.2. *Let d be a positive integer congruent to 1 modulo 6. Let $d = 6l + 1$. Let the set I_d, H_d, M_d, T_d are subsets of the group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ as defined in section 1. The set I_d is divided into $2l$ disjoint pairs of the form $(i, 2i)$ where i belong to the set $H_d \cup T_d$ and $2i$ belong to the set M_d .*

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a sum-free subset of an abelian group G , of type III. Let m be the exponent of G and $\alpha(A) > \min(\eta, \frac{5}{42m})$. Let $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ be the special direction of the set as given by Theorem 1.14. Then the order of $\gamma = q = m$.*

Proof. Since G is type *III* group, therefore any prime divisor of the order of G is greater than or equal to 7. Therefore if q is not equal to m , then

$$q \leq \frac{m}{7}.$$

Using Theorem 1.14 we have the following equality for the density of the set A .

$$\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_i = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i \in I_q} \alpha_i$$

Now from Lemma 4.2, it follows that

$$\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i \in H_q \cup M_q} (\alpha_i + \alpha_{2i}) \leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i \in H_q \cup M_q} 1.$$

Since the cardinality of the set $H_q \cup M_q$ is equal to $\frac{q-1}{3}$, it follows that

$$\alpha(A) \leq \frac{1}{q} \frac{q-1}{3} \leq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{7}{3m}.$$

But the last inequality above is contrary to assumption that

$$\alpha(A) > \mu(G) - \frac{5}{42m} > \frac{1}{3} - \frac{7}{3m}.$$

Hence the lemma follows. $\square$

5 Element with large fiber

Given a set $A \subset G$ such that $\alpha(A) \geq \mu(G) - \min(\eta, \frac{5}{42m})$, from Theorem 1.14 and Proposition 4.3 it follows that γ is a surjective homomorphism from G to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that A is a subset of the set $\gamma^{-1}(I)$, where γ is the special direction of the set A and I is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as defined in section 1. Then we define $L \subset \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

$$L = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} : \alpha(g, i) > \frac{1}{2}\}$$

We say that the fiber of an element $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is large if i belong to the set $L(g, A)$. It is clear that L is a subset of the set I . In this section we shall show that the set L is a sum-free subset $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and the cardinality of the set L is $2k$, where k is equal to $\frac{m-1}{6}$.

The fact that the set L is sum-free is a consequence of the following folklore in additive number theory. We give a proof of the following lemma for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 5.1. (*folklore*) *Let C and B are subsets of a finite abelian group K such that $\min(|C|, |B|) > \frac{1}{2}|K|$. Then $C + B = K$.*

Proof. Suppose there exist $x \in K$ such that x does not belong to $C + B$. This is clearly equivalent to the fact that $C \cap (x - B) = \emptyset$. But this means that $|K| > |C| + |x - B| > |K|$. This is not possible. Hence the lemma is true. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *For any two elements $i, j \in L$, we have $\alpha_{i+j} = \alpha_{i-j} = 0$. In particular the set L is sum-free.*

Proof. The fact that γ is a homomorphism implies that the set $A_i + A_j$ is a subset of the set S_{i+j} . Take any $x \in S_i, y \in S_j$. Let $C = A_i - x$ and $B = A_j - y$ so that we have the sets C and B are subsets of group S . Then applying Lemma 5.1 it follows that $C + B = S$. Therefore we have $A_i + A_j = S_{i+j}$. The fact that A is sum-free implies that $A_{i+j} \cap (A_i + A_j) = \phi$. Since we have shown that the set $A_i + A_j = S_{i+j}$, it follows that the set $A_{i+j} = \phi$. In other words it follows that $\alpha_{i+j} = 0$. From similar arguments it also follows that $\alpha_{i-j} = 0$. $\square$

Now we shall show that the cardinality of the set L is equal to $2k$. For this we require the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A, G , be as in theorem 1.12. Let m be the exponent of the group G and I, H, T, M are the subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as defined in section 1. Let $m = 6k + 1$. Let g be a surjective homomorphism $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that the following holds.*

$$A \subset g^{-1}(I).$$

Then we have the following inequality

$$\alpha(g, i) + \alpha(g, 2i) \geq 1 - m(\mu(G) - \alpha(A)), \quad \forall i \in H \cup T. \quad (5)$$

Proof. From the assumption on the homomorphism g it follows that the density of the set A satisfy the following equality

$$\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in I} \alpha(g, i)$$

Now from Lemma 4.2, it follows that the set I is divided into $2k$ disjoint pairs of the form $(i, 2i)$ where i belongs to the set $H \cup T$. Therefore it follows that

$$\alpha(A) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in I} \alpha(g, i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in H \cup T} (\alpha(g, i) + \alpha(g, 2i)).$$

Now using Lemma 4.1 it follows that for any $i_0 \in H \cup T$ the following inequality holds

$$m\alpha(A) \leq 2k - 1 + \alpha(g, i_0) + \alpha(g, 2i_0).$$

From this the required inequality follows for any i_0 belonging to the set $H \cup T$ after observing that $\mu(G) = \frac{2k}{m}$. $\square$

We need the following well known theorem due to Kneser.

Theorem 5.4. (Kneser) *Let C, B are subsets of a finite abelian group K such that $|C + B| < |C| + |B|$. Let $F = H(C + B) = \{g \in G : g + C + B = C + B\}$ be the stabiliser of the set $C + B$. Then the following holds*

$$|C + B| = |C + F| + |B + F| - |F|.$$

In particular the set F is a nontrivial subgroup of K and

$$|F| \geq |C| + |B| - |C + B|. \quad (6)$$

For the proof of this theorem one may see [Nat91].

Lemma 5.5. *Let A, G , be as in theorem 1.12, γ be as provided by Theorem 1.14 and H, T, M be as defined earlier. Then the following holds*

- (i) *For any $i \in H \cup T$ if $\alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then $\alpha_{2i} > \frac{1}{2}$.*
- (ii) *The cardinality of the set L is equal to $2k$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose the claim is not true. Then there exist $i_0 \in H \cup T$ such that

$$\alpha_{i_0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (7)$$

$$\alpha_{2i_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Then from (5) it follows that

$$\alpha_{i_0} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{42} \quad (9)$$

$$\alpha_{2i_0} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{42}. \quad (10)$$

Take any $x \in S_{i_0}$ and consider the set $A_{i_0} - x$. Then invoking (4) and using (10), it follows that

$$|(A_{i_0} - x) + (A_{i_0} - x)| = |A_{i_0} + A_{i_0}| \leq |S| - |A_{2i_0}| < \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{42}\right)|S| \quad (11)$$

Therefore using (9) it follows that

$$2|A_{i_0} - x| = 2|A_{i_0}| > 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5}{42}\right)|S| > |(A_{i_0} - x) + (A_{i_0} - x)| \quad (12)$$

Let F denote the stabiliser of the set $(A_{i_0} - x) + (A_{i_0} - x)$. We can apply Theorem 5.4 with $C = B = A_{i_0} - x$ and using (6), (11), (12) we have the following inequality

$$|F| > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{15}{42}\right)|S| = \frac{1}{7}|S|. \quad (13)$$

Therefore the cardinality of the group S/F is strictly less than 7. But since S is a group of type *III*, the group S/F is also of type *III*. Hence it follows that $S = F$. Therefore the stabiliser of the set $A_{i_0} - x$ is equal to the group S . This implies that the set $A_{i_0} = S_{i_0}$. This is in contradiction to the assumption that $\alpha_{i_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence the claim follows. □

- (ii) The set I is divided into $2k$ disjoint pairs of the form $(i, 2i)$ with $i \in H \cup T$. From (I) it follows that at least one element of any such pair belongs to the set L . The claim follows since we have shown that the set L is sum-free and is a subset of the set I .

From Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.5 the following proposition follows

Proposition 5.6. (i) *The set L is a sum-free subset of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of cardinality $2k$. The set L is a subset of the set I .*

- (ii) *For any two elements $i, j \in L$, we have $\alpha_{i+j} = \alpha_{i-j} = 0$.*

6 Sum-free subset of cyclic group

Let the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be of type *III* group and $m = 6k + 1$. In this section we shall characterise all the sets $E \subset \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that the set E is sum-free and $|E| = 2k$. From Theorem 1.14 it is sufficient to characterise those sets E which are subset of the set I .

Lemma 6.1. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be a sum-free set. Let the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is of type *III* and the cardinality of the set E is $2k$, where k is equal to $\frac{m-1}{6}$. Let H, T, M, I are subsets of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as defined in section 1 and the set E is a subset of the set I . Then for any element y belonging to the set M exactly one of the element of the pair $(\frac{y}{2}, y)$ belongs to the set E .*

Proof. This is straightforward from the fact that the set I is divided into $2k$ disjoint pairs of the form $(\frac{y}{2}, y)$ with y belonging to the set M and the assumption that the set E is a sum-free set and is a subset of the set I . $\square$

We have the natural projection p from the set of integers to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

$$p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

Since the map p restricted to the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-2, m-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a bijection to the group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (as a map of the sets) we can define

$$p^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$$

in an obvious way.

The following lemma is straightforward to check.

Lemma 6.2. (i) *The set H is equal to the set $-T$.*

(ii) *The set M is equal to the set $-M$.*

(iii) *The set I is equal to the set $-I$.*

(iv) *For any set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ the set $B \cap T$ is same as the set $-((-B) \cap H)$. Also the set $p^{-1}(B \cap T) = m - p^{-1}((-B) \cap H)$.*

(v) *The set $H + H$ as well as the set $T + T$ are subsets of the set M .*

(vi) *Given any even element y belonging to the set $p^{-1}(M)$ the element $\frac{p(y)}{2}$ belong to the set H . Also the element $p^{-1}(\frac{p(y)}{2})$ is equal to the element $\frac{y}{2}$.*

(vii) *Given any odd element y belonging to the set $p^{-1}(M)$ the element $\frac{p(y)}{2}$ belong to the set T . Also the element $p^{-1}(\frac{p(y)}{2})$ is equal to the element $\frac{y+6k+1}{2}$.*

(viii) *Given any two elements x, y belonging to the set $\in p^{-1}(H)$ which are of same parity, the element $p^{-1}(\frac{p(x+y)}{2}) = \frac{x+y}{2}$ and the element $\frac{x+y}{2}$ belongs to the set $p^{-1}(H)$. Moreover if x is strictly less than y then the following inequality holds.*

$$x < \frac{x+y}{2} < y$$

Lemma 6.3. *Let E be a set as above. Then the following holds.*

(i) *Given any two elements x, y which belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ and are of same parity, the element $\frac{x+y}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$.*

- (ii) Given any two elements x, y which belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ and are of different parity, the element $\frac{x+y+6k+1}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$.
- (iii) Given an element x belonging to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ and an element y belonging to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$, the element $\frac{p(x)-p(y)}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap (H \cup T))$.
- (iv) Any two consecutive element of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ (or of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$) are of different parity.

Proof. Since the set E is sum-free, it follows that given any two elements x, y belonging to the set $p^{-1}(E)$, neither the element $p(x) + p(y)$ nor the element $p(x) - p(y)$ belong to the set E . Using this we prove all the claims.

- (i) Under the assumption, the element $p(x) + p(y)$ belong to the set M . From Lemma 6.1 it follows that the element $\frac{p(x)+p(y)}{2}$ belong to the set E . Also the element $p^{-1}(p(x)+p(y))$ is equal to $x+y$ and is even. Therefore invoking Lemma 6.2 it follows that the element $p^{-1}\left(\frac{p(x)+p(y)}{2}\right)$ is equal to $\frac{x+y}{2}$ and belong to the set $p^{-1}(H)$. Hence the claim follows.
- (ii) Under the assumption, the element $p(x) + p(y)$ belong to the set M . From Lemma 6.1 it follows that the element $\frac{p(x)+p(y)}{2}$ belong to the set E . In this case the element $p^{-1}(p(x)+p(y))$ is equal to the element $x+y$ and is odd. Therefore invoking Lemma 6.2 it follows that the element $p^{-1}\left(\frac{p(x)+p(y)}{2}\right)$ is equal to the element $\frac{x+y+6k+1}{2}$ and belongs to the set $p^{-1}(T)$. Hence the claim follows.
- (iii) Under the assumption, the element $p(x) - p(y)$ belong to the set M . Therefore the claim follows invoking Lemma 6.1.
- (iv) Let the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_h\}$. Suppose there exist $1 \leq i_0 \leq h-1$ such that the element x_{i_0} and the element x_{i_0+1} have same parity. Then from (I) it follows that the element $\frac{x_{i_0}+x_{i_0+1}}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. From Lemma 6.2 the following inequality also follows.

$$x_{i_0} < \frac{x_{i_0} + x_{i_0+1}}{2} < x_{i_0+1}$$

But this contradicts the fact that the elements x_{i_0} and x_{i_0+1} are consecutive elements of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. Therefore the claim follows for the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. Replacing the set E by the set $-E$, it follows that the any two consecutive element of the set $p^{-1}((-E) \cap H)$ are also of different parity. Noticing that the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T) = m - p^{-1}((-E) \cap H)$, the claim follows for the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ also.

□

Proposition 6.4. *Let E be a set as above then the following holds.*

- (i) *The set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ as well as the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is an arithmetic progression with an odd common difference.*
- (ii) *The following inequality holds.*

$$|E \cap T| - 1 \leq |E \cap H| \leq |E \cap T| + 1 \tag{14}$$

Proof. Let the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_h\}$.

- (i) In case the cardinality of the set $E \cap H$ is less than or equal to 2, the claim is trivial for the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. Otherwise for any $1 \leq i \leq h-2$, consider the elements x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2} , then from Lemma 6.3 it follows that the parity of elements x_i and x_{i+1} are different. For the same reason the the parity of elements x_{i+1} and x_{i+2} are different. Therefore the parity of elements x_i and x_{i+2} are same. Therefore from Lemma 6.3 it follows that the element $\frac{x_i + x_{i+2}}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. But from Lemma 6.2 the following inequality follows.

$$x_i < \frac{x_i + x_{i+2}}{2} < x_{i+1}$$

Hence it follows that for for any $1 \leq i \leq h-2$

$$\frac{x_i + x_{i+2}}{2} = x_{i+1}.$$

This is equivalent to the fact that the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ is an arithmetic progression. It also follows that the common difference is odd. The claim for the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ follows by replacing the set E by the set $-E$.

- (ii) From Lemma 6.3 it follows that the set

$$\left\{ \frac{x_1 + x_2 + 6k + 1}{2} < \frac{x_2 + x_3 + 6k + 1}{2} < \dots < \frac{x_{h-1} + x_h + 6k + 1}{2} \right\} \subset p^{-1}(E \cap T). \quad (15)$$

Therefore it follows that

$$|E \cap T| \geq |E \cap H| - 1.$$

Replacing the set E by the set $-E$, it also follows that

$$|E \cap H| \geq |E \cap T| - 1.$$

Hence the claim follows. □

6.1 $\max(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \geq 2$

Proposition 6.5. *Let E be a set as above and H, T, M as defined above.*

- (i) *Suppose the inequality $\min(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \geq 2$ is satisfied. Then the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ and the set $E \cap T$ are arithmetic progression with same common difference $d(H, E) = d(T, E) = d(E)$ (say).*
- (ii) *Suppose the inequality $\min(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \geq 2$ is satisfied. The set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is an arithmetic progression with common difference $d(E)$, where $d(E)$ is a positive integer given by (I).*
- (iii) *Suppose the cardinality of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$) is equal to 2 and the cardinality of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ (resp. $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$) is equal to 1, then the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is an arithmetic progression with common difference $d(H, E)$ (resp. $d(T, E)$) which is equal to 1.*

- (iv) Let the inequality $\max(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \geq 2$ is satisfied. Then the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is an arithmetic progression with common difference equal to 1.

Proof. (i) We discuss the two cases.

Case 1: $\max(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \geq 3$.

Under the assumption, either the inequality $|E \cap H| \geq 3$ holds or the inequality $|E \cap T| \geq 3$ holds (both the inequalities may also hold). Since the claim holds for the set E if and only if it holds for the set $-E$, it is sufficient to prove the assertion under the assumption that the inequality $|E \cap H| \geq 3$ holds.

Now from Proposition 6.4 it follows that the the sets $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ and $E \cap T$ are arithmetic progression. Let the set

$$p^{-1}(E \cap H) = \{x_1 < x_1 + d(H, E) < x_2 + 2d(H, E) < \cdots < x_1 + (h-1)d(H, E)\}.$$

Then from (15) it follows that the following set

$$\{x_1 + \frac{d(H, E) + 6K + 1}{2}, x_1 + \frac{d(H, E) + 6K + 1}{2} + d(H, E)\}$$

is a subset of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. From this the following inequality follows immediately

$$d(H, E) \leq d(T, E).$$

In the case the cardinality of the set $E \cap T$ is equal to 2 it also follows that the set

$$\{x_1 + \frac{d(H, E) + 6K + 1}{2}, x_1 + \frac{d(H, E) + 6K + 1}{2} + d(H, E)\}$$

is equal to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. Hence the claim follows in case the cardinality of the set $E \cap T$ is equal to 2. Suppose the cardinality of the set $E \cap T$ is also greater than or equal to 3, then replacing the set E by the set $-E$, it follows that

$$d(H, -E) \leq d(T, -E).$$

Since the numbers $d(H, -E)$ and $d(T, -E)$ are equal to the numbers $d(T, E)$ and $d(H, E)$ respectively, the claim follows.

Case 2: $|E \cap H| = |E \cap T| = 2$.

Let the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = \{x, y\}$ and the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T) = \{z, w\}$. Then from Lemma 6.3, it follows that the parity of the elements x and y are different and the element $\frac{x+y+6k+1}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. Since we are not assuming that $z < w$ we can assume without any loss of generality that the element

$$\frac{x + y + 6k + 1}{2} = z.$$

For the similar reason the element $\frac{z+w-6k+1}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ and we can assume without any loss of generality that the element

$$\frac{z + w - 6k + 1}{2} = x.$$

Therefore it follows that

$$w - z = x - y.$$

This proves the claim.

- (ii) First we notice that the claim is true for the set E if and only if it is true for the set $-E$. This is because the sets $(-E)^c$ and M are equal to the sets $-(E)^c$ and $-M$ respectively. Therefore the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is same as the set $6k+1 - p^{-1}((-E)^c \cap M)$. Therefore replacing the set E by the set $-E$ if necessary we may assume that the following inequality holds.

$$|E \cap H| \geq |E \cap T|$$

Let the smallest member of $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ be x so that $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = \{x + jd(E) : 0 \leq j \leq h-1\}$. Using (15) it follows that the set

$$\{a + jd(E) : 0 \leq j \leq h-2\} \quad \text{where } a = x + 3k + \frac{d(E) + 1}{2}, \quad (16)$$

is a subset of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ and its cardinality is $h-1$. Since the cardinality of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is at-most h and since $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is in arithmetic progression with common difference $d(E)$, it follows that it is contained in $\{a + jd(E) : -1 \leq j \leq h-1\}$. On the other hand, $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is the disjoint union of $\{2x : x \in p^{-1}(E \cap H)\}$ and $\{2x - 6k - 1 : x \in p^{-1}(E \cap T)\}$. Therefore, we have $\{2x + jd(E) : 0 \leq j \leq 2h-2\} \subset p^{-1}(E^c \cap M) \subset \{2x + jd(E) : -1 \leq j \leq 2h-1\}$. From this the claim is immediate.

- (iii) It is sufficient to prove the assertion in the case the cardinality of the sets $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ and $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ are equal to 2 and 1 respectively. In the other case then the assertion follows by replacing the set E by the set $-E$. Suppose the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ is $\{x, y\}$ and $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is $\{z\}$. Then it follows that the element $2z - 6k - 1$ is equal to the element $x + y$. It also follows that the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is $\{x, x + y, 2y\}$. Hence the assertion follows.
- (iv) Replacing the set E by the set $-E$ we may assume $|E \cap H| \geq 2$. From (I), (II) and (III) we know that the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is an arithmetic progression with common difference equal to $d(H, E)$ which is an odd positive integer. Suppose the assertion is not true. Then it means that $d(H, E) \geq 3$. Then the smallest element of the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ (let say x) is less than or equal to $2k-3$. This implies that k is at least 3. It also follows that the cardinality of the set $\{2k+1, 2k+2, 2k+3\} \cap (E \cap M) \geq 2$. But since $x \leq 2k-3$, the set $\{x+2k+1, x+2k+2, x+2k+3\}$ is a subset of the set $p^{-1}(M)$ and contains at least 2 elements of the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$. But this contradicts the fact that the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is in arithmetic progression of common difference $d(H, E)$ which is at least 3. Hence the claim follows. $\square$

Definition 6.6. We shall say that a set $B \subset Z$ is an interval if either it is an arithmetic progression with common difference equal to 1 or the cardinality of the set B is equal to 1.

Proposition 6.7. Let E be a set as above. Suppose $\max(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \geq 2$ then $E = H \cup T$.

Proof. From Lemma 6.1, the conclusion of proposition is equivalent of the assertion that $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M) = p^{-1}(M)$. Let y be the smallest member of $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ and it's cardinality is s . Then using Proposition 6.5 it follows $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is equal to $\{y + j : 0 \leq j \leq s-1\}$. Suppose the claim is not true. Therefore at least one of the element of the set $\{2k+1, 4k\}$

belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$. The assumption of the proposition is satisfied for the set $-E$ as well and the conclusion is true for the set E if and only if it is true for the set $-E$. Therefore replacing the set E by the set $-E$ if necessary we may assume that the element $2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$. Using this we prove the following.

Claim: $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = p^{-1}(H)$.

Let x be the smallest member of $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ and it's cardinality is h . Then using Proposition 6.5 it follows $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ is equal to $\{x + j : 0 \leq j \leq h - 1\}$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that $x + h - 1$ is $2k$ and x is $k + 1$.

Claim The largest element of $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ is $2k$.

Suppose $x + h - 1$ is not equal to $2k$. Since the set E is sum-free, it follows that $\{2x + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2h - 2\}$ is a subset of $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$. As $x + h - 1$ is not $2k$ therefore $2x + 2h$ belong to $p^{-1}(E \cap M)$. Therefore $y + s - 1 \leq 2x + 2h - 1$. Since $2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$ it also follows that $x + h - 1 + 2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E^c)$. Since $x + h - 1 < 2K$, we have $x + h - 1 + 2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$. Therefore it follows that $x + h - 1 + 2k + 1 \leq 2x + 2h - 1$ which implies $x + h - 1 \geq 2k$. Since $x + h - 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(H)$ it follows that $x + h - 1 = 2k$, contradictory to the assumption $x + h - 1 \neq 2k$. Therefore $x + h - 1$ is $2k$.

Claim The smallest element of $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$ is $k + 1$.

Now we will show that x is $k + 1$. Since we have shown that $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = \{x + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k - x\}$, using Lemma 6.3 it follows that $\{x + j : 3k + 1 \leq j \leq 5k - x\}$ is a subset of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ and it's cardinality is $h - 1 = 2k - x$. The set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is an interval and it's cardinality is at most $h + 1$. Therefore we have that $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is a subset of $\{x + j : 3k - 1 \leq j \leq 5k - x\}$. But in case $\{x + 3k - 1, x + 3k + 1\}$ is a subset of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$, from Lemma 6.3 it follows that $x - 1$ belong to $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. This contradicts that x is the smallest element of $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. Therefore $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is a subset of $\{x + j : 3k \leq j \leq 5k - x\}$. Therefore the least element of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is either $x + 3k$ or $x + 3k + 1$.

Case The least element of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is $x + 3k$

In this case the element $x + 3k - 2k = x + k$ does not belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$. Since x belong to $p^{-1}(H)$, the element $x + k$ belong to $p^{-1}(M)$. Therefore in case $x + k$ is even, the element $\frac{x+k}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. But if $x + k$ is even then $\frac{x+k}{2} < x$. This contradicts the assumption that the element x is the least element of $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. In case $x + k$ is odd, then the element $\frac{x+k+6k+1}{2}$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. Now in case x is not $k + 1$ then $x \geq k + 2$ and the inequality $\frac{x+k+6k+1}{2} < x + 3k$ is satisfied. This contradicts that $x + 3k$ is the least element of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. Therefore x has to be $k + 1$ in this case.

Case The least element of $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$ is $x + 3k + 1$.

In this case the element $x + k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$. Then again if x is not $k + 1$, this leads to a contradiction.

Therefore $p^{-1}(E \cap H) = H$. The assumption of proposition can hold only in case $k \geq 2$. In that case $k + 1$ and the element $k + 2$ belong to $p^{-1}(H) = p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. Therefore

the element $2k + 3$ does not belong to $p^{-1}(E)$ and invoking Lemma 6.1 the element $p^{-1}p\left(\frac{2k+3}{2}\right) = 4k + 2$ belong to $p^{-1}(E \cap T)$. But since by assumption $2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$, this contradicts that the set E is sum-free. Therefore finally it follows that the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M) = M$ and hence the proposition follows. $\square$

6.2 $\max(|E \cap H|, |E \cap T|) \leq 1$

Replacing the set E by the set $-E$ if necessary we may assume that the following inequality holds.

$$|E \cap H| \geq |E \cap T|$$

Then we have the following three possible cases.

- (i) The equality $|E \cap H| = |E \cap T| = 1$ holds.
- (ii) The cardinality of the sets $E \cap H$ and $E \cap T$ are 1 and 0 respectively.
- (iii) The equality $|E \cap H| = |E \cap T| = 0$.

Proposition 6.8. *Let E, H, T, M be as above. If $|E \cap H| = |E \cap T| = 1$, then the set $p^{-1}(E) = \{2k\} \cup \{2k + 2, 2k + 3, \dots, 4k - 2, 4k - 1\} \cup \{4k + 1\}$.*

Proof. Let the set $(E \cap H) = \{x\}$ and the set $(E \cap T) = \{y\}$. From Proposition 6.1 the set $E^c \cap M = \{2x, 2y\}$ and the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M) = \{p^{-1}(2x), p^{-1}(2y)\}$. We claim that

claim : $x = -y$.

proof of claim: From Proposition 6.1 it follows that the element $\frac{-y+x}{2}$ belongs to the set $E \cap (H \cup T)$. Now if $p^{-1}(-y)$ and $p^{-1}(x)$ have same parity then the element $p^{-1}\left(\frac{-y+x}{2}\right)$ belongs to the set $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. But as the element $p^{-1}(x)$ is the only element belonging to the set $E \cap H$, in this case the claim follows. Otherwise the element $\frac{-y+x}{2}$ belongs to the set $E \cap T$ and hence is equal to y . Also then $p^{-1}(-x)$ and $p^{-1}(y)$ have different parity and the element $\frac{-x+y}{2}$ is equal to x . But this implies after simple calculation that $x = 9x$. As m is odd this is not possible. Hence the claim follows.

Next claim is

claim: $p^{-1}(x) = 2k$

proof of claim: Suppose not, then $p^{-1}(y)$ is also not equal to $4k + 1$. Therefore the element $2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$. This implies that the element $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 1$ belongs to the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$. The element $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 1$ also satisfy the inequality $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 1 > 2p^{-1}x$. Therefore the element $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 1 = p^{-1}(2y)$. Since $y = -x$, it follows that $p^{-1}(2y) = 6k + 1 - 2p^{-1}(x)$. Therefore we have $3p^{-1}(x) = 4k$. This is possible only if k is divisible by 3. It is easy to check that case $k = 3$ is not possible. So we may assume that k is greater than 3 and is divisible by 3. As k is strictly greater than 3 therefore $p^{-1}(x) = \frac{4k}{3} \neq k + 1$ and hence $2k + 2$ belong to the set E . Also we have the inequality $\frac{4k}{3} \leq 2k - 2$. Therefore the element $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 2$ belong to the set $E^c \cap M$. Therefore the elements $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 1$ as well as $p^{-1}(x) + 2k + 2$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ and neither of these elements are same as $2p^{-1}(x)$. This implies that the cardinality of the set $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$ is greater than or equal to 3. This is not possible. Hence the claim follows.

Now the proposition follows immediately. $\square$

Proposition 6.9. *Let E be as set as above, then following holds. If $|E \cap H| = 1$ and $|E \cap T| = 0$ then we have the set $p^{-1}(E) = \{2k, 2k + 1, \dots, 4k - 2, 4k - 1\}$.*

Proof. Suppose the set $\{x\}$ is $p^{-1}(E \cap H)$. The claim is immediate from the assertion $x = \{2k\}$. Suppose the assertion is not true. Since we have assumed $|E \cap T| = 0$, using Lemma 6.1 it follows that $2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(E)$. Therefore if $x \neq \{2k\}$, then $x + 2k + 1$ belong to the set $p^{-1}(M)$ and actually belong to $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M)$. But trivially $p^{-1}(E^c \cap M) = \{2x\}$ and the element $x + 2k + 1$ is not equal to $2x$. Hence there is a contradiction and the claim follows. $\square$

The following proposition is trivial.

Proposition 6.10. *Let E be a set as above. In the case $|E \cap H| = |E \cap M| = 0$, then $E = M$*

Therefore from Proposition 6.7, 6.8, 6.9 the proof of Theorem 1.13 in case G is cyclic follows. That is the following result follows.

Theorem 6.11. *Let G be a cyclic abelian group of type III. That is $G = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Let $k = \frac{m-1}{6}$. Let E be a sum-free subset of G of density $\mu(G)$. Then there exist an automorphism $f : G \rightarrow G$ such that one of the following holds.*

- (i) *The set $E = f^{-1}(M)$.*
- (ii) *The set E is equal to $f^{-1}p(\{2k\} \cup \{2k + j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\} \cup \{4k + 1\})$.*
- (iii) *The set E or the set $-E$ is equal to $f^{-1}p(\{2k\} \cup \{2k + j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\})$.*

Proof. From Theorem 1.14 and Proposition 4.3, it follows that there exist automorphism $g : G \rightarrow G$ such that the set E is a subset of the set $g^{-1}(I)$. Then in case $\max(|g(E) \cap H|, |g(E) \cap T|) \geq 2$ Proposition 6.7 we have $g(E) = H \cup T$. Therefore taking $f = 2g$ it follows $E = f^{-1}(M)$. In the other cases taking $f = g$ the claim follows from Proposition 6.8, 6.9, 6.10. $\square$

7 Sum-free set of general abelian group of type III

Proof. of Theorem 1.12: Let γ be a special direction of the set A . Then from Proposition 4.3 it follows that order of γ is equal to m . We have also from Theorem 1.14 it follows that A is a subset of $\gamma^{-1}(I)$. Let $L \subset \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as defined in section 5. Then from Proposition 5.6 we have that L is a sum-free subset of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and its cardinality is $2k$. Then from propositions 6.7, 6.8, 6.9, 6.10 it follows $p^{-1}(L)$ is one of the following set.

Case 1: $p^{-1}(L) = p^{-1}(H \cup T)$.

In this case we easily check that given any element $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that i does not belong to the set L , there exist $x, y \in L$ such that either $i = x + y$ or $i = x - y$. Therefore invoking Proposition 5.6, it follows that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ which does not belong to L , the number $\alpha_i = 0$. Therefore A is a subset of $\gamma^{-1}(I)$. Hence $f = 2\gamma$ is a surjective homomorphism from G to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and moreover

$$A \subset f^{-1}\{M\}.$$

Case 2: $p^{-1}(L) = \{2k\} \cup \{2k + j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\} \cup \{4k + 1\}$.

In this case again given any element $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that i does not belong to the set L , there exist $x, y \in L$ such that either $i = x + y$ or $i = x - y$. Therefore taking $f = \gamma$ we have

$$A \subset f^{-1}p(2k) \cup f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\}) \cup f^{-1}p(4k + 1).$$

Case 3: Either $p^{-1}(L)$ or $p^{-1}(-L)$ is $\{2k\} \cup \{2k + j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\}$.

In both these cases, given any element $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that i does not belong to the set L , there exist $x, y \in L$ such that either $i = x + y$ or $i = x - y$. Therefore taking $f = \gamma$ the claims follows.

$$A \subset f^{-1}p(2k) \cup f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 2 \leq j \leq 2k - 1\}) \cup f^{-1}p(4k + 1).$$

Case 4: $p^{-1}(L) = p^{-1}(M)$.

In this case given any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ which does not belong to $\{2k + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1\}$ there exist $x, y \in L$ such that either $i = x + y$ or $i = x - y$. Therefore taking $f = \gamma$ we have

$$A \subset f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1\})$$

Therefore in all the cases there exist a homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$A \subset f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1\}).$$

Now the claims (I), (II), (III) follows invoking Lemma 5.3. The claim (IV) follows observing that the set $A \cup f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1\})$ is also a sum-free set. $\square$

Now we prove Theorem 1.13.

Proof. of Theorem 1.13: Let f be a surjective homomorphism from G to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ given by Theorem 1.12. Since m is the exponent of group G and f is a surjective homomorphism to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ there exist a subgroup C of G such that $G = S \oplus C$ where S is a kernel of f . Therefore f restricted to C is an isomorphism from C to $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We denote this restriction by g . Since $\alpha(A) = \mu(G)$ it follows from Theorem 1.12 we have

$$f^{-1}p(\{2k + j : 0 \leq j \leq 2k + 1\}) \subset A.$$

Also the following equalities hold.

$$|A(f, 2k)| + |A(f, 4k)| = \frac{n}{m} \quad (17)$$

$$|A(f, 4k + 1)| + |A(f, 2k + 1)| = \frac{n}{m} \quad (18)$$

Now $A(f, 2k) + A(f, 2k)$ is a subset of $f^{-1}\{4k\}$ and it is disjoint from $A(f, 4k)$. Therefore the following inequality follows.

$$|A(f, 4k)| \leq \frac{n}{m} - |A(f, 2k) + A(f, 2k)| = |A(f, 4k)| + |A(f, 2k)| - |A(f, 2k) + A(f, 2k)|$$

Hence we have

$$|A(f, 2k) + A(f, 2k)| = |A(f, 2k)|. \quad (19)$$

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ there exist $X_i \subset S$ such that $A(f, i) = X_i \oplus g^{-1}\{i\}$. Then from (19) it follows that $|X_{2k} + X_{2k}| = |X_{2k}|$. Therefore either $X_{2k} = \phi$ or there exist J_1 a subgroup of S and an element $b_1 \in S$ such that $X_{2k} = J_1 + b_1$. Similar arguments implies that either $X_{4k+1} = \phi$ or there exist J_2 a subgroup of S and an element $b_2 \in S$ such that $X_{4k+1} = J_2 + b_1$. Then there are three possibilities.

Case 1: Both the sets X_{2k} as well as the set X_{4k+1} are empty sets.

In this case from (17) and (18) it follows $X_{2k+1} = S$ and $X_{4k} = S$. Hence A is $f^{-1}(M)$.

Case 2: Exactly one of the sets X_{2k} and X_{4k+1} is an empty set.

Replacing the set A by $-A$ if necessary we may assume that X_{4k+1} is an empty set. Since the set A is sum-free it follows that X_{4k} is a subset of $(J_1 + 2b_1)^c$. From (17) it follows trivially that X_{4k} is $(J_1 + 2b_1)^c$.

Case 3: Both the sets X_{2k} and X_{4k+1} are not empty sets.

Then arguing as in case 2 it follows that X_{4k} is $(J_1 + 2b_1)^c$ and X_{4k+1} is $(J_2 + 2b_2)^c$. The assumption that A is sum-free implies that X_{4k+1} is a subset of $(X_{2k} + X_{2k+1})^c$. This means

$$J_2 + b_2 \subset (J_1 + b_1 + (J_2 + 2b_2)^c)^c.$$

This implies

$$(J_2 + b_2)^c = J_1 + b_1 + (J_2 + 2b_2)^c.$$

Therefore we have

$$J_1 + b_1 \subset J_2 - b_2. \tag{20}$$

Since X_{2k} is a subset of $(X_{2k} + X_{2k+1})^c$, same arguments implies that

$$J_2 + b_2 \subset J_1 - b_1. \tag{21}$$

From (20) and (21) we have $J_1 + b_1 = J_2 - b_2$. Hence $J_1 = J_2$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

8 Remarks

In case G is of type $I(p)$ group and A is a maximal sum-free subset of G such that $\alpha(A) > \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3(p+1)}$ then $\alpha(A) = \mu(G)$. For the proof of this one may see [GR05]. But in case G is of type III then there exist A such that A is a maximal sum-free set of cardinality $\mu(G)n - 1$. For this consider the following example.

Example: $G = (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $A = \pi_2^{-1}\{3\} \cup (0, 2) \cup (1, 2) \cup (\pi_2^{-1}\{4\} \setminus \{(0, 4), (1, 4), (2, 4)\})$, where $\pi_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ is a natural projection to second co-ordinate.

Therefore Theorem 1.12 does not give complete characterisation of all large maximal sum-free subsets of G .

In general Hamidoune and Plagne [oHP04] have studied (k, l) free subsets of finite abelian groups. For any positive integer t we define tA by

$$tA = \{x \in G : x = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i, \quad a_i \in A \quad \forall i\}.$$

Given any two positive integers we say A is (k, l) free if $kA \cap lA = \phi$. In case $k - l \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, where m is the exponent of G then it is easy to check that there is no set apart from empty set which is (k, l) free. Therefore one assume that $\gcd(|G|, k - l) = 1$. We denote the density of the largest (k, l) free set by $\lambda_{k,l}$. Hamidoune and Plagne [oHP04] conjectured the following.

Conjecture. Let G be a finite abelian group and m is the exponent of G . Let k, l be positive integers such that $\gcd(|G|, k - l) = 1$. Then the density of largest (k, l) free set

is given by the following formula.

$$\lambda_{k,l} = \max_{d|m} \frac{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{k+l} \rfloor + 1}{d}$$

They [oHP04] proved the above conjecture in case when there exist a divisor d_0 of m such that d_0 is not congruent to 1 modulo $k+l$. In this situation they also showed that given any (k, l) free set of density $\lambda_{k,l}$, there exist a positive integer d , a surjective homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, a set $B \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ such that B is (k, l) free and $A = f^{-1}(B)$. That is any (k, l) free set of density $\lambda_{k,l}$ is an inverse image of a (k, l) free subset of a cyclic group. One may ask the following question:

Question 8.1. Let G be a finite abelian group and m is the exponent of G . Suppose k, l are positive integers such that all the divisors of m are congruent to 1 modulo $k+l$. Is it true that any (k, l) free set of density $\lambda_{k,l}$ is an inverse image of a (k, l) free set of a cyclic group?

We have already seen that the answer of the above question is negative in case $k=2$ and $l=1$. The following arguments show that the answer of the above question is neagtive for an arbitrary value of k provided $l=1$.

In case all the divisors of m are congruent to 1 modulo $k+l$, it is easy to check that

$$\max_{d|m} \frac{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{k+l} \rfloor + 1}{d} = \frac{\lfloor \frac{m-2}{k+l} \rfloor + 1}{m}.$$

Now consider the following example.

Example: Let G be a finite abelian group and m is the exponent of G . We further assume that G is not cyclic. Let k be positive integers such that $\gcd(|G|, k-1) = 1$ and all the divisors of m are congruent to 1 modulo $k+1$. Then $G = S \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with $S \neq \{0\}$. Let $q = \lfloor \frac{m-2}{k+1} \rfloor$. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $(k-1)x \equiv 1 + q \pmod{m}$. Let J be any proper subgroup of S and

$$A = (J \oplus \{x\}) \cup (S \oplus \{x+1, x+2, \dots, x+q\}) \cup (J^c \oplus \{x+q+1\}).$$

If A is in the example above then it is easy to check that A is $(k, 1)$ free and density of A is $\frac{\lfloor \frac{m-2}{k+1} \rfloor + 1}{m}$. Hamidoune and Plagne [oHP04] also proved the above conjecture for all cyclic groups. Using this and following the arguments as in section 3 it follows that stabiliser of A is J . This shows that if A is the set as in above example then A is not an inverse image of any $(k, 1)$ free subset of a cyclic group.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank Dr. D. Surya Ramana for carefully reading the manuscript and various useful comments. A substantial part of this work was done when the second author was a research scholar at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences Chennai, whome he thanks for its support.

References

- [DT89] A. Davydov and L. Tombak. Quasi-perfect linear binary codes with distance 4 and complete caps in projective geometry. *Problemy Peredachi Informatzii*, 25(4):11–23, 1989.

- [DY69] P.H. Diananda and H.P. Yap. Maximal sum-free sets of elements of finite abelian groups. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 45:1–5, 1969.
- [GR05] B.J. Green and I. Z. Ruzsa. Sum-free sets in abelian groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 147:157–189, 2005.
- [Lev] V. F. Lev. Large sum-free sets in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. *Israel J. Math.* to appear.
- [Lev05] V.F. Lev. Large sum-free sets in ternary spaces. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 111(2):337–346, 2005.
- [Nat91] Melvyn B. Nathanson. *Additive number theory Inverse problems and the geometry of sumsets*. Springer GTM, 165, 1991.
- [oHP04] Yahya ould Hamidoune and Alain Plagne. A new critical pair theorem applied to sum-free sets in abelian groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 79:183–207, 2004.
- [RS74] A.H. Rhemtulla and A.P. Street. Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 2:289–267, 1974.
- [Yap72] H.P. Yap. Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups iv. *Nanta. Math.*, 5(3):70–75, 1972.
- [Yap75] H.P. Yap. Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups v. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 13(3):337–342, 1975.